VOTE DATE	FISCAL YEAR	BUDGET	BUDGET INC	YES	NO	DELTA	TOTAL	PERCENT	DECISION
March 1, 1994	1995	\$13.991.478		975	857	118	1,832	53.22%	YES
Article authorized use of additional state aid	1995	ψ10,991, 4 70	1.7370	1.593	218	1.375	1,811	87.96%	YES
				1,000	210	1,575	1,011	07.9070	TLO
March 7, 1995	1996	\$14,404,213	2.95%	1,122	1.386	(264)	2,508	55.26%	NO
Article to money for energy conservation		\$56.850		1,757	750	1.007	2,507	70.08%	YES
Article authorizing use of additional state aid		\$00,000		2,087	393	1.694	2,480	84.15%	YES
June 6. 1995	1996	\$14,264,213	1.95%	1.025	1.201	(176)	2,226	53.95%	NO
August 8, 1995	1996	\$14,190,713		1,138	1,230	(92)	2,368	53.95%	NO
November 28, 1995	1996	\$14,190,713		1,318	930	388	2,248	58.63%	YES
	1000	¢.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1,010			2,210	0010070	0
March 5, 1996	1997	\$13,915,713	-1.94%	2,238	952	1,286	3,190	70.16%	YES
Bond for Construction		\$3,150,000		901	830	71	1,731	52.05%	YES
March 4, 1997	1998	\$14,620,293	5.06%	1,338	1,203	135	2,541	52.66%	YES
Article for reserve fund for capital maintenance		\$84,000	for five years	1,580	951	629	2,531	62.43%	YES
Act 60 Implementation			-						
March 3, 1998	1999	\$15,575,858	6.54%	2,026	1,177	849	3,203	63.25%	YES
Article for Technology		\$125,000	for five years	2,158	981	1,177	3,139	68.75%	YES
Authority to sell 1.9 acres				1,964	1,282	682	3,246	60.51%	YES
March 3, 1999	2000	\$16,359,792	5.03%	1,638	839	799	2,477	66.13%	YES
Article to Transfer Surplus to Escrow				1,936	340	1,596	2,276	85.06%	YES
Article for Athletic Facilities		\$120,000		1,455	849	606	2,304	63.15%	YES
March 7, 2000	2001	\$18,018,674	10.14%	1,877	1,480	397	3,357	55.91%	YES
March 6, 2001	2002	\$19,558,269	8.54%	551	417	134	968	56.92%	YES
March 5, 2002	2003	\$20,179,169		1,466	1,271	195	2,737	53.56%	YES
Article for Reserve Fund for Capital Maintenance		\$84,000	for five years	1,636	1,080	556	2,716	60.24%	YES
Manak 4, 0000	0004	¢00 000 400	440/	4 4 4 0	1 110	(00.4)	0.500	55.040/	NO
March 4, 2003	2004	\$22,398,103		1,118	1,412	(294)	2,530	55.81% 50.92%	NO NO
Article for Technology May 6, 2003	2004	\$125,000	for three years 8.73%	1,232 1,113	1,278 1,353	(46)	2,510 2,466	50.92%	NO
Article for Technology	2004	. , ,	for three years	1,113	1,353	(240)	2,466	54.87%	NO
	2004		-	1,219			,		NO
May 27, 2003 June 24, 2003	2004 2004	\$21,559,730 \$21,507,843		1,247	1,389 1,451	(142) 415	2,636	52.69% 56.26%	YES
Act 68 Implementation	2004	φ21,307,043	0.30%	1,000	1,401	415	3,317	50.20%	TEO
•	2005	¢00.040.704	6.07%	1 0 0 7	1.450	077	2.077	EE 7E0/	YES
March 2, 2004	2005	\$22,812,704	6.07%	1,827	1,450	377	3,277	55.75%	TEO
March 1, 2005	2006	\$24,172,636	5.96%	832	1,048	(216)	1,880	55.74%	NO
March 1, 2005 May 3, 2005	2006	\$23,993,913		1,413	1,048	353	2,473	57.14%	YES
iviay 3, 2003	2000	φ 20,990,91 3	5.1070	1,413	1,000	555	2,473	57.1470	TES
March 7, 2006	2007	\$25,432,259	5.99%	1,355	1,351	4	2,706	50.07%	YES
Article for Reserve Fund - instructional materials	2007	\$25,432,239		1,355	1,306	60	2,700	51.12%	YES
		φ150,000	ion live years	1,300	1,500	00	2,012	J1.12/0	TES

VOTE DATE	FISCAL YEAR	BUDGET	BUDGET INC	YES	NO	DELTA	TOTAL	PERCENT	DECISION
March 6, 2007	2008	\$26,775,529	5.28%	1,211	1,099	112	2,310	52.42%	YES
Article for reserve fund for capital maintenance		\$84,000	for five years	1,414	872	542	2,286	61.85%	YES
Article for All Day Kindergarten		\$320,000		1,016	1,286	(270)	2,302	55.86%	NO
March 4, 2008 (*)	2009	\$30,679,563	8.67%	2,419	2,294	125	4,713	51.33%	YES
Article for capital reserve fund	2009			2,827	1,823	1,004	4,650	60.80%	YES
March 3, 2009	2010	\$31,784,655	3.60%	1,037	1,275	(238)	2,312	55.15%	NO
Article for athletic fields	2010	\$500,000		1,013	1,300	(287)	2,313	56.20%	NO
May 5, 2009	2010	\$31,630,992	3.10%	1,246	1,120	126	2,366	52.66%	YES
March 2, 2010	2011	\$32,343,607	2.25%	1,124	1,676	(552)	2,800	59.86%	NO
May 18, 2010	2011	\$31,817,671	0.59%	1,474	1,305	169	2,779	53.04%	YES
March 1, 2011	2012	\$31,933,581	0.36%	1,180	731	449	1,911	61.75%	YES
March 6, 2012	2013	\$33,037,580	3.46%	1,142	1,706	(564)	2,848	59.90%	NO
May 1, 2012	2013	\$32,722,712	2.47%	1,457	1,264	193	2,721	53.55%	YES
March 4, 2013	2014	\$34,913,512	6.70%	1,307	1,570	(263)	2,877	54.57%	NO
May 7, 2013	2014	\$34,497,846	5.42%	1,041	918	123	1,959	53.14%	YES
March 4, 2014	2015	\$36,008,112	4.38%	1,187	1,758	(571)	2,945	59.69%	NO
May 6, 2014	2015	\$35,565,368	3.09%	1,045	1,254	(209)	2,299	54.55%	NO
June 3, 2014	2015	\$35,471,154	2.82%	1,519	1,444	75	2,963	51.27%	YES
March 3, 2015	2016	\$36,422,424	2.68%	1,604	1,466	138	3,070	52.25%	YES
March 1, 2016	2017	\$37,914,750	4.10%	3,348	1,297	2,051	4,645	72.08%	YES
March 7, 2017	2018	\$39,133,767	3.22%	1,381	971	410	2,352	58.72%	YES
March 6, 2018	2019	\$40,569,927	3.67%	1,482	1,211	271	2,693	55.03%	YES
March 5, 2019	2020	\$42,589,168	4.98%	1,582	1,036	546	2,618	60.43%	YES
March 3, 2020	2021	\$44,626,828	4.78%	2,966	1,613	1,353	4,579	64.77%	YES
March 2, 2021	2022	\$46,679,911	4.60%	1,867	1,009	858	2,876	64.92%	YES
March 1, 2022	2022	\$48,563,209	4.03%	1,858	973	885	2,831	65.63%	YES

(*) This was the first year that all dollars to be appropriated were placed on the ballot (including all grant dollars).