School: Colchester High School

S.U.: Colchester S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports": http://www.state.vt.us/educ/

FY2015 School Level Data

Cohort Description: Senior high school

(27 schools in cohort)

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest)
11 out of 27

	School lev	el data Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tchr Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
^	Lamoille UHS #18	9 - 12	545	47_60	4.00	11.45	136.25	11,90
aller	Middlebury Union High School	9 - 12	627	46,90	2,00	13.37	313.50	23,45
Sa	Spaulding UHS #41	9 - 12	715	69.58	5,00	10,28	143.00	13.92
(Colchester High School	9 - 12	722	58.50	3.00	12.34	240.67	19.50
ger	Brattleboro UHS #6	9 - 12	786	47.30	4.00	16,62	196,50	11.83
<u></u>	North Country UHS #22A	9 - 12	796	71.00	5,00	11.21	159.20	14.20
Ý	Mt Mansfield USD #17	9 - 12	815	53,30	2.00	15.29	407.50	26,65
,	Averaged SCHOOL cohort data		628.15	53.36	2.83	11.77	222.08	18.87

School District: Colchester

LEA ID: T050

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. These data include district assessments to SUs including assessments to SUs makes districts more comparable to each other.

FY2014 School District Data

Cohort Description: K - 12 school district

(34 school districts in cohort)

	,					
	School district data (local, union, or joint district)	Grades offere in School District	d Student FTE enrolled in school district	Current expenditustudent FTE EXC special education	LUDING	Cohort Rank by FTE (1 is largest) 4 out of 34
1	Springfield	PK-12	1,316.46	\$14,687		
maller	Hartford	PK-12	1,546.86			t expenditures are an effort to te an amount per FTE spent
S	Milton	PK-12	1,595.27	\$11,807		strict on students enrolled in
	Colchester	K-12	2,146.65	\$11,997		trict. This figure excludes
Larger	Rutland City	PK-12	2,173,46	\$13,317		and assessments paid to
Ē	South Burlington	PK-12	2,467.05	\$13,254		roviders, construction and ent costs, debt service, adult
v	Burlington	PK-12	3,684.09	\$14,835		on, and community service.
٩ve	raged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data		787.86	\$13,311	<u> </u>	

FY2016	Sc	hool D	istrict Data					Total municip	al tax rate, K-1	2, consisting
					S	chool district tax r	ate	of prorated member district rates		
					SchlDist	SchlDist	SchlDist	MUN	MUN	MUN
				-		Education	Equalized	Equalized	Common	Actual
				Grades offered	Equalized	Spending per	Homestead	Homestead	Level	Homestead
				in School	Pupils	Equalized Pupil	Ed tax rate	Ed tax rate	of Appraisal	Ed tax rate
		LEA ID	School District	District			Use these tax rates to compare towns rates.			ese tax rates are not comparable due to CLA's
	^	T093	Hartford	PK-12	1,510.39	14,882.93	1.5577	1.5577	103.42%	1.5062
	Smaller	T126	Milton	PK-12	1,638.84	14,100.15	1.4758	1.4758	105.32%	1.4013
	S	T173	Rutland City	PK-12	2,153,22	14,208.35	1.4871	1.4871	94.82%	1,5683
		T050	Colchester	K-12	2,159.83	13,534.83	1.4166	1.4166	98.47%	1.4386
	Larger	T191	South Burlington	PK-12	2,400.03	14,922.82	1.5619	1.5619	96.40%	1.6202
	, la	T037	Burlington	PK-12	4,190,48	13,837.52	1,4483	1.4483	87.54%	1.6544

The Legislature has required the Agency of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

¹⁶ V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

⁽K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.

School: Colchester Middle School

S.U.: Colchester S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports": http://www.stale.vt.us/educ/

FY2015 School Level Data

Cohort Description: Middle school

(25 schools in cohort)

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest) 3 out of 25

	School level data	Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tchr Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
Smaller ->	Edmunds Middle School	6 - 8	408	37,20	2,00	10,97	204.00	18.60
	Browns River Middle School	5 - 8	418	30.20	2.00	13.84	209.00	15.10
	Essex Middle School	6 - 8	425	35,52	1.00	11,97	425.00	35,52
	Colchester Middle School	6 - 8	443	37.10	3.00	11.94	147.67	12.37
rger	Frederick H Tuttle Middle School	6 - 8	495	46,38	2.00	10.67	247.50	23, 19
<- Lar	Mt Anthony Union Middle School	6 - 8	609	39.00	3.00	15.62	203.00	13.00
	Averaged SCHOOL cohort data		308.68	28.25	1.75	10.93	176.03	16.11

School District: Colchester

LEA ID: T050

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. These data include district assessments to SUs. Including assessments to SUs makes districts more comparable to each other

FY2014 School District Data

Cohort Description: K - 12 school district

(34 school districts in cohort)

	School district data (local, union, or joint district)	Grades offered in School District	Student FTE enrolled in school district	Current expenditure student FTE EXCLI special education contents.	JDING	Cohort Rank by FTE (1 is largest) 4 out of 34
Larger Smaller ->	Springfield Hartford Milton Colchester Rutland City South Burlington	PK-12 PK-12 PK-12 K-12 PK-12 PK-12	1,316,46 1,546,86 1,595,27 2,146.65 2,173,46 2,467,05	\$14,687 \$11,944 \$11,807 \$11,997 \$13,317 \$13,254	calculate by a dist that dist tuitions a other pre	expenditures are an effort to e an amount per FTE spent trict on students enrolled in rict. This figure excludes and assessments paid to oviders, construction and ent costs, debt service, adult
v Aver	Burlington aged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data	PK-12	3,684,09 787.86	\$14,835 \$13,311	1 ' '	on, and community service.

FY2016	Sc	hool D	istrict Data					Total municipal tax rate, K-12, consisting		
					Se	chool district tax r	ate	of prorate	ed member dis	trict rates
					SchlDist	SchlDist	SchlDist	MUN	MUN	MUN
						Education	Equalized	Equalized	Common	Actual
				Grades offered	Equalized	Spending per	Homestead	Homestead	Level	Homestead
				in School	Pupils	Equalized Pupil	Ed tax rate	Ed tax rate	of Appraisal	Ed tax rate
		LEA ID	School District	District			Use these tax rates to compare towns rates			ese tax rates are not comparable due to CLA's
	^	T093	Hartford	PK-12	1,510.39	14,882.93	1.5577	1.5577	103.42%	1,5062
	Smaller	T126	Milton	PK-12	1,638.84	14,100.15	1-4758	1.4758	105.32%	1.4013
	S	T173	Rutland City	PK-12	2,153.22	14,208.35	1.4871	1,4871	94.82%	1.5683
		T050	Colchester	K-12	2,159.83	13,534.83	1.4166	1.4166	98.47%	1.4386
	Larger	T191	South Burlington	PK-12	2,400.03	14,922.82	1.5619	1.5619	96.40%	1.6202
	La	T037	Burlington	PK-12	4,190.48	13,837.52	1.4483	1.4483	87.54%	1.6544

The Legislature has required the Agency of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.

School: Malletts Bay School S.U.: Colchester S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports": http://www.state.vt.us/educ/

FY2015 School Level Data

Cohort Description: Elementary school, enrollment ≥ 300 (31 schools in cohort)

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest) 6 out of 31

1.40

School level data Total Total Stu / Tchr Stu / Admin Tchr / Admin Grades Offered Enrollment Teachers Administrators Ratio Ratio Ratio 230,50 14.60 Middlebury ID #4 School PK - 6 461 29,20 2,00 15.79 PK - 5 465 23,43 1.00 19,85 465.00 23 43 486.00 34.80 34.80 1.00 13.97 Essex Elementary School PK - 2 486 **Malletts Bay School** PK - 5 522 30.70 2.00 17.00 261.00 15.35 PK - 5 545 38,67 1.00 14.09 545.00 38.67 Union Elementary School PK - 6 555 55.20 2.00 10.05 277.50 27.60 195,33 3.00 10.73 18.20 3 - 6 586 54.60 Rutland Intermediate School

30.90

School District: Colchester

Chamberlin School

Swanton Schools

LEA ID: T050

Averaged SCHOOL cohort data

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

424.65

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. These data include district assessments to SUs. Including assessments to SUs makes districts more comparable to each other.

302.69

22.02

13.74

FY2014 School District Data

Cohort Description: K - 12 school district

(34 school districts in cohort)

	School district data (local, union, or joint district)	Grades offere in School District	d Student FTE enrolled in school district	Current expenditustudent FTE EXC special education	LUDING	Cohort Rank by FTE (1 is largest) 4 out of 34
Ą	Springfield	PK-12	1,316,46	\$14,687		
maller	Hartford	PK-12	1,546.86	\$11,944		t expenditures are an effort to te an amount per FTE spent
۳S	Milton	PK-12	1,595,27	\$11,807		strict on students enrolled in
	Colchester	K-12	2,146.65	\$11,997	that dis	trict. This figure excludes
rger	Rutland City	PK-12	2,173.46	\$13,317		and assessments paid to
ď	South Burlington	PK-12	2,467.05	\$13,254		roviders, construction and ent costs, debt service, adult
v	Burlington	PK-12	3,684.09	\$14,835	1 ' '	on, and community service.
ve	raged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data		787.86	\$13,311		

FY2016	Sc	hool Di	istrict Data					Total municip	al tax rate, K-1	2, consisting
					Sc	chool district tax r	ate	of prorate	ed member dis	trict rates
					SchlDist	SchlDist	SchlDist	MUN	MUN	MUN
						Education	Equalized	Equalized	Common	Actual
				Grades offered	Equalized	Spending per	Homestead	Homestead	Level	Homestead
				in School	Pupils	Equalized Pupil	Ed tax rate	Ed tax rate	of Appraisal	Ed tax rate
		LEA ID	School District	District			Use these tax rates to compare towns rates.			ese tax rates are not comparable due to CLA's.
	Ą	T093	Hartford	PK-12	1,510,39	14,882.93	1.5577	1.5577	103.42%	1.5062
	Smaller	T126	Milton	PK-12	1,638.84	14,100.15	1.4758	1.4758	105.32%	1.4013
	S	T173	Rutland City	PK-12	2,153.22	14,208.35	1,4871	1.4871	94.82%	1.5683
		T050	Colchester	K-12	2,159.83	13,534.83	1.4166	1.4166	98.47%	1.4386
	Larger	T191	South Burlington	PK-12	2,400.03	14,922.82	1.5619	1.5619	96.40%	1.6202
	<- Lar	T037	Burlington	PK-12	4,190.48	13,837.52	1.4483	1.4483	87.54%	1.6544

The Legislature has required the Agency of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A, § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil,

School: Porters Point School S.U.: Colchester S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports": http://www.state.vt.us/educ/

FY2015 School Level Data

Cohort Description: Elementary school, enrollment ≥ 200 but <300

(39 schools in cohort)

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest) 21 out of 39

	Schoo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rades ffered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tchr Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
^	Hyde Park Elementary School	F	PK - 6	242	25,50	1.00	9.49	242,00	25,50
alle	Union Memorial School		K-2	243	17.65	1.00	13,77	243,00	17,65
S	Hiawatha School	F	PK - 3	244	19.50	1.00	12,51	244,00	19.50
F	Porters Point School	ŀ	(- 2	244	18.10	1.00	13.48	244.00	18.10
дeг	Ottauquechee School	P	PK - 5	245	20.20	1.00	12,13	245,00	20,20
Ë	Jericho Elementary School	P	PK - 4	247	18.00	1.00	13,72	247.00	18.00
Ý	Thomas Fleming School		4 - 5	249	17,30	1,00	14,39	249.00	17,30
-	Averaged SCHOOL cohort data			246.77	19.81	1.06	12.46	231.90	18.61

School District: Colchester

FY2016

LEA ID: T050

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. These data include district assessments to SUs. Including assessments to SUs makes districts more comparable to each other.

FY2014 School District Data

Cohort Description: K - 12 school district

(34 school districts in cohort)

	School district data (local, union, or joint district)	Grades offered in School District	Student FTE enrolled in school district	Current expenditure student FTE EXCL ! special education or	UDING	Cohort Rank by FTE (1 is largest) 4 out of 34
<- Larger Smaller ->	Springfield Hartford Milton Colchester Rutland City South Burlington Burlington	PK-12 PK-12 PK-12 K-12 PK-12 PK-12 PK-12	1,316,46 1,546,86 1,595,27 2,146.65 2,173,46 2,467,05 3,684,09	\$14,687 \$11,944 \$11,807 \$11,997 \$13,317 \$13,254 \$14,835	calculate by a dist that distr tuitions a other pro equipme	expenditures are an effort to a an amount per FTE spent trict on students enrolled in rict. This figure excludes and assessments paid to oviders, construction and ent costs, debt service, adult on, and community service.
٩ve	raged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data		787.86	\$13,311	<u></u>	

Sc	hool D	istrict Data						al tax rate, K-	
				S	chool district tax r	ate	of prorate	ed member dis	trict rates
				SchlDist	SchlDist	SchlDist	MUN	MUN	MUN
					Education	Equalized	Equalized	Common	Actual
			Grades offered	Equalized	Spending per	Homestead	Homestead	Level	Homestead
			in School	Pupils	Equalized Pupil	Ed tax rate	Ed tax rate	of Appraisal	Ed tax rate
	District LEA ID School District		District			Use these tax rates towns rates			nese tax rates are not comparable due to CLA's
							l		
^	T093	Hartford	PK-12	1,510.39	14,882.93	1.5577	1.5577	103,42%	1.5062
Smaller	T126	Milton	PK-12	1,638.84	14,100.15	1.4758	1.4758	105.32%	1.4013
S	T173	Rutland City	PK-12	2,153.22	14,208.35	1.4871	1.4871	94.82%	1.5683
	T050	Colchester	K-12	2,159.83	13,534.83	1.4166	1.4166	98.47%	1.4386
ger	T191	South Burlington	PK-12	2,400.03	14,922.82	1.5619	1.5619	96.40%	1.6202
<- Larger	T037	Burlington	PK-12	4,190.48	13,837.52	1.4483	1.4483	87.54%	1.6544
							l		

The Legislature has required the Agency of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.

School: Union Memorial School

S.U.: Colchester S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports": http://www.state.vt.us/educ/

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest)

FY2015 School Level Data

Cohort Description: Elementary school, enrollment ≥ 200 but <300

(39 schools in cohort)

23 out of 39 Total Stu / Tchr Stu / Admin Tchr / Admin School level data Grades Total Enrollment Teachers Administrators Ratio Ratio Ratio Offered PK - 5 21.20 1.00 11.13 236,00 21.20 236 238.00 23.20 **Enosburg Elementary School** PK - 5 238 23,20 1.00 10.26 Hyde Park Elementary School 1.00 9.49 242.00 25,50 PK - 6 242 25.50 **Union Memorial School** K-2 243 17.65 1.00 13.77 243.00 17.65 PK - 3 244 19.50 1.00 12.51 244.00 19.50 244.00 18.10 K - 2 244 18:10 1.00 13.48 PK - 5 1.00 12,13 245.00 20,20 245 20.20 Averaged SCHOOL cohort data 246.77 19.81 1.06 12.46 231.90 18.61

School District: Colchester

White River School

Hiawatha School

Porters Point School

Ottauquechee School

LEAID: T050

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. These data include district assessments to SUs. Including assessments to SUs makes districts more comparable to each other

FY2014 School District Data

Cohort Description: K - 12 school district

(34 school districts in cohort)

	School district data (local, union, or joint district)	Grades offered in School District	Student FTE enrolled in school district	Current expenditu student FTE EXC special education	LUDING
^	Springfield	PK-12	1,316,46	\$14,687	
Smaller	Hartford	PK-12	1,546.86	\$11,944	Curre
	Milton	PK-12	1,595.27	\$11,807	by a
	Colchester	K-12	2,146.65	\$11,997	that d
Larger	Rutland City	PK-12	2,173.46	\$13,317	tuitior
	South Burlington	PK-12	2,467.05	\$13,254	other
ů	Burlington	PK-12	3,684.09	\$14,835	educa
\ver	aged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data		787.86	\$13,311	1

ì	Cohort Rank by FTE
۱	(1 is largest)
J	4 out of 34

rent expenditures are an effort to ulate an amount per FTE spent district on students enrolled in district. This figure excludes ons and assessments paid to er providers, construction and ipment costs, debt service, adult cation, and community service.

FY2016 School District Data								Total municip	al tax rate, K-1	2, consisting
					School district tax rate			of prorated member district rates		
					SchlDist	SchlDist	SchlDist	MUN	MUN	MUN
			8		Education	Equalized	Equalized	Common	Actual	
			Grades offered	Equalized	Spending per	Homestead	Homestead	Level	Homestead	
				in School	Pupils	Equalized Pupil	Ed tax rate	Ed tax rate	of Appraisal	Ed tax rate
	LEA ID School Distric		School District	District			Use these tax rates to compare towns rates		1	ese tax rates are not comparable due to CLA's
	î	T093	Hartford	PK-12	1,510.39	14,882.93	1.5577	1,5577	103,42%	1,5062
	Smaller	T126	Milton	PK-12	1,638.84	14,100.15	1.4758	1.4758	105.32%	1.4013
	S	T173	Rutland City	PK-12	2,153.22	14,208.35	1.4871	1.4871	94.82%	1.5683
		T050	Colchester	K-12	2,159.83	13,534.83	1.4166	1.4166	98.47%	1.4386
	Larger	T191	South Burlington	PK-12	2,400,03	14,922.82	1.5619	1,5619	96.40%	1.6202
	^ La	T037	Burlington	PK-12	4,190.48	13,837.52	1.4483	1.4483	87.54%	1.6544

The Legislature has required the Agency of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. ... The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.